

Feb 1998
UM-P-98/13
RCHEP-98/3

Neutrino masses in the $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ mirror symmetric model

M. Collie and R. Foot¹

*Research Centre for High Energy Physics
School of Physics
University of Melbourne
Parkville 3052 Australia*

Abstract

Motivated by the atmospheric and solar neutrino anomalies, we study neutrino masses in a parity invariant $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ grand unified model. Constraints from photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing are discussed.

¹Email address: Foot@physics.unimelb.edu.au

The possibility that parity is a unbroken symmetry of nature seems very appealing. In order to achieve unbroken parity symmetry it is necessary to contemplate the existence of a set of mirror particles and forces which are isomorphic to the ordinary particles and forces². The parity symmetry interchanges ordinary and mirror particles. However, while the number of particles is doubled the number of parameters is not significantly increased (only two additional parameters in the minimal exact parity symmetric model with massless neutrinos)[2]. (For example, each of the mirror particles will have the same mass as the corresponding ordinary particle since the parity symmetry is unbroken³). If the neutrinos have mass and there is mass mixing between ordinary and mirror neutrinos, then the parity symmetry implies an interesting constraint on the mixing. Consider for example the first generation neutrino ν_e and its mirror partner ν'_e . Since parity interchanges ordinary and mirror species it follows that the parity eigenstates are maximal combinations of weak eigenstates, i.e. $\nu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu_e \pm \nu'_e)$. It is easy to show[3] that the parity eigenstates are also mass eigenstates if the parity symmetry is unbroken. Hence, provided that the mixing between generations is small, as it is in the quark sector, then the parity symmetry implies that each of the known neutrinos $\nu_e, \nu_{\mu}, \nu_{\tau}$ will be approximately maximal mixtures of two mass eigenstates[3]. Thus the concepts of neutrino mass and unbroken parity symmetry naturally lead to the prediction that each of the known neutrinos will oscillate maximally with an essentially sterile mirror partner (which we denote by $\nu'_e, \nu'_{\mu}, \nu'_{\tau}$)[3].

Maximal $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu'_e$ oscillations with

$$3 \times 10^{-10} \lesssim |\delta m_{ee'}^2|/eV^2 \lesssim 10^{-3}, \quad (1)$$

leads to an energy independent 50% reduction of solar neutrinos thereby explaining the observed solar neutrino deficit[3]. (The upper bound in Eq.1 is from the recent chooz experiment[5]).

Maximal $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu'_{\mu}$ oscillations can explain the atmospheric neutrino anomaly[3]. Using the recent preliminary SuperKamiokande data[6], Ref.[7] finds that maximal $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu'_{\mu}$ oscillations provide a good fit for the range⁴

$$1.5 \times 10^{-3} \lesssim |\delta m_{\mu\mu'}^2|/eV^2 \lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-2}. \quad (2)$$

²The general idea seems to have been first discussed by Lee and Yang[1]. See also[3] and references therein for other early references.

³ It is possible to build mirror models with the parity symmetry being spontaneously broken. See Ref.[4] for some models of this type.

⁴ Note that if $|\delta m_{ee'}^2|$ happens to be in the range $5 \times 10^{-5} \lesssim |\delta m_{ee'}^2|/eV^2 \lesssim 10^{-3}$ then the atmospheric neutrino experiments will also be sensitive to $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu'_e$ oscillations as well as the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu'_{\mu}$ oscillations. See Ref.[8] for details.

Furthermore there is a significant range of tau neutrino masses and mixing parameters where these solutions are *not* in conflict with standard Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) when the creation of lepton number asymmetry due to $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu'_\mu$ or $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu'_e$ oscillations is taken into account[9]. Note also that the exact parity symmetric model is also compatible with the LSND [10] $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ oscillation signal[3].

The above solutions to the atmospheric and solar neutrino problems will be further tested in the near future by the SNO and superKamiokande experiments. The $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu'_e$ oscillations will not affect the ratio of charged to neutral current solar neutrino induced events at SNO (which is very different from many other models, such as the $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ MSW solution). The $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu'_\mu$ solution to the atmospheric neutrino anomaly can be distinguished from the $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ solution in the near future by studying the properties of the π^0 's produced by neutral current interactions at superKamiokande [11, 6]. For example, if $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu'_\mu$ oscillations are responsible for the anomaly, then there should be an up-down asymmetry of the π^0 's which should be measurable (if the atmospheric neutrino anomaly is due to $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations then there should be no such up-down asymmetry for the pions). There are also other ways to test $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu'_\mu$ oscillation solution[12].

Besides neutrino physics and gravity⁵ the only other ways in which the mirror particles can interact with the known particles is through Higgs (ϕ) - mirror Higgs (ϕ') interactions[2]

$$\lambda \phi^\dagger \phi \phi'^\dagger \phi' \quad (3)$$

and kinetic mixing of the ordinary and mirror photon[15, 16, 17, 2]

$$\delta F_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

At present there is no significant experimental bound on λ ⁶. The Higgs mirror Higgs interaction will mainly affect Higgs production and decay which can only be tested if and when the Higgs particle is discovered. The parameter δ has the effect of giving the mirror partners to the charged particles an electric charge proportional to δ [15]. The most stringent experimental bound on δ comes from orthopositronium decay[16]. The photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing leads to mass mixing between orthopositronium and mirror orthopositronium. This means that maximal oscillations between ordinary orthopositronium and mirror orthopositronium would occur. Since mirror orthopositronium decays into undetected mirror photons, it is possible to deduce a bound on δ by

⁵ The cosmological implications of mirror particles has been discussed in a number of publications, see Ref.[13] for details.

⁶ Note that there is a BBN bound $\lambda \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-6} \sqrt{M_{higgs}/TeV}$ (see Ref.[14]) which can be derived by demanding that the Higgs - mirror Higgs quartic interaction term, Eq.3, does not bring the mirror sector into equilibrium with the ordinary sector in the early Universe.

comparing the theoretical and experimental results for orthopositronium decay. The bound is[16]

$$\delta \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-7}. \quad (5)$$

A more stringent bound can be obtained if one assumes that the standard assumptions of cosmology are correct and this leads to a bound of about $\delta \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-8}$ [17] (otherwise the the photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing will populate the mirror particles and adversely affect BBN). In the minimal parity symmetric model based on the gauge group,

$$SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1) \otimes SU(3)' \otimes SU(2)' \otimes U(1)' \quad (6)$$

δ is a free parameter. Its necessary smallness gives a motivation for extending this theory to the grand unified version, e.g. $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ [16]. In this case a tree level kinetic mixing term is forbidden by the gauge symmetry. (Gauge kinetic mixing is only gauge invariant for abelian $U(1) \otimes U(1)'$ symmetry).

In the usual $SU(5)$ model[18], denote the fermion $\bar{5}$ and 10 representations as

$$f_{1L} \sim \bar{5}, \quad f_{2L} \sim 10 \quad (7)$$

and the Higgs scalar responsible for electroweak symmetry breaking as

$$\phi \sim \bar{5}. \quad (8)$$

In the parity symmetric $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ model, in addition to the ordinary fermions and Higgs scalars (which are $SU(5)'$ singlets), there are mirror fermions and mirror scalars (which are $SU(5)$ singlets) and transform under $SU(5)'$ as:

$$f'_{1R} \sim \bar{5}, \quad f'_{2R} \sim 10, \quad \phi' \sim \bar{5}. \quad (9)$$

The parity transformation interchanges the ordinary particles with their mirror counterparts (i.e. $f_{1L} \leftrightarrow \gamma_0 f'_{1R}$, $f_{2L} \leftrightarrow \gamma_0 f'_{2R}$, $\phi \leftrightarrow \phi'$ and similarly for the gauge bosons). Note that we assume that $\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \phi' \rangle$ so that parity is unbroken by the vacuum. (It is straight forward to show that this happens for a range of parameters of the Higgs potential, without the need for additional scalar particles. We also assume that the GUT scale breaking of $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ down to Eq.6 respects the parity symmetry.)

In order to incorporate neutrino masses we need to introduce either gauge singlet neutrinos or introduce additional scalar particles. The addition of one gauge singlet neutrino per generation (and the corresponding mirror neutrinos) leads essentially to the usual see-saw model[19]. Denote the gauge singlet neutrinos as ν_R and the mirror singlet as ν'_L (here and elsewhere the generation index is suppressed). Note that $\nu_R \leftrightarrow \gamma_0 \nu'_L$ under the parity transformation. The Yukawa Lagrangian consists of the usual

terms giving masses to the charged fermions (and the corresponding terms for the mirror charged fermions) together with the terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{yuk}^\nu = \lambda_1 \bar{f}_{1L} \phi \nu_R + \lambda_1 \bar{f}'_{1R} \phi' \nu'_L + \lambda_2 \bar{f}_{1L} \phi (\nu'_L)^c + \lambda_2 \bar{f}'_{1R} \phi' (\nu_R)^c + H.c.. \quad (10)$$

The above Yukawa Lagrangian gives Dirac mass terms to the neutrinos after spontaneous symmetry breaking. If the gauge singlet neutrinos have large bare masses:

$$\mathcal{L}_{bare} = M_1 \bar{\nu}_R (\nu_R)^c + M_1 \bar{\nu}'_L (\nu'_L)^c + M_2 (\bar{\nu}_R \nu'_L + \bar{\nu}'_L \nu_R) + H.c., \quad (11)$$

then provided that $M_1, M_2 \gg \langle \phi \rangle = \langle \phi' \rangle \equiv u \simeq 170 \text{ GeV}$, the neutrinos develop small Majorana masses (which is just the usual see-saw mechanism). Furthermore, if the mixing between the generations is small then each of the weak eigenstates are an approximately maximal mixture of two mass eigenstates (which is an automatic consequence of the parity symmetry).

Alternatively, neutrino masses can be incorporated without adding gauge singlet fermions by extending the scalar sector. In this case we need to add the following multiplets

$$\rho \sim (15, 1), \quad \rho' \sim (1, 15), \quad \chi \sim (\bar{5}, 5), \quad (12)$$

with $\rho \leftrightarrow \rho'$ and $\chi \leftrightarrow \chi^\dagger$ under the parity symmetry. The scalars couple to the fermions with

$$\mathcal{L}_{yuk} = \lambda_1 \bar{f}_{1L} \rho^\dagger (f_{1L})^c + \lambda_1 \bar{f}'_{1R} \rho'^\dagger (f'_{1R})^c + \lambda_2 \bar{f}_{1L} \chi f'_{1R} + H.c. \quad (13)$$

The most general Higgs potential contains the terms:

$$V_1 = m_\chi^2 \chi^\dagger \chi + m_\rho^2 (\rho^\dagger \rho + \rho'^\dagger \rho') + m_1 (\phi^2 \rho + \phi'^2 \rho') + m_2 (\phi^\dagger \phi' \chi) + H.c. \quad (14)$$

If the trilinear terms are neglected then the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of χ, ρ are trivially zero (we are assuming that $m_\chi^2, m_\rho^2 > 0$). However given that $\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \phi' \rangle \equiv u$, the effects of the trilinear term is to induce linear terms in ρ, ρ', χ which destabilises the vacuum (this result is quite general, and will hold for the most general Higgs potential for a range of parameters). Because of this, small VEVs for χ and ρ, ρ' arise

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \langle \rho' \rangle = m_1 u^2 / m_\rho^2, \quad \langle \chi \rangle = m_2 u^2 / m_\chi^2. \quad (15)$$

These VEVs are naturally much smaller than the electroweak symmetry breaking VEV ($\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \phi' \rangle = u$) provided that $m_\chi, m_\rho \gg u$. This is achieved without any new hierarchy problem. (There is still the old hierarchy problem, i.e. why $\langle \phi \rangle$ is so small c.f. GUT scale). The smallness of the VEV is related to the high mass scale of the ρ, χ . For example, if $m_1 \sim m_\rho \approx 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$ then $\langle \rho \rangle / \langle \phi \rangle \approx 10^{-8}$. Clearly, these VEVs are naturally small and thus so are the induced Majorana neutrino masses (from

Eq.13). As expected from the parity symmetry, the usual weak eigenstate neutrinos are each maximal combinations of two mass eigenstates (if mixing between the generations is neglected). Note that without the χ scalar, there is no mass mixing between the ordinary and mirror neutrinos so no ordinary neutrino - mirror neutrino oscillations would occur. Since we are interested in using the maximal neutrino - mirror neutrino oscillations to explain the solar and atmospheric neutrino puzzles, we must include the χ scalar.

Notice that the $\chi \sim (\bar{5}, 5)$ couples to both ordinary and mirror photons so that kinetic mixing of the ordinary and mirror photons will be radiatively induced. Such radiatively induced kinetic mixing was first studied by Holdom[15] in quite a general context and applied to the $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ model by Glashow[16]. Under the low energy subgroup, Eq.6, $\chi \rightarrow \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1 &\sim (3, 1, 1/3, 3, 1, 1/3), \quad \chi_2 \sim (3, 1, 1/3, 1, 2, -1/2), \\ \chi_3 &\sim (1, 2, -1/2, 3, 1, 1/3), \quad \chi_4 \sim (1, 2, -1/2, 1, 2, -1/2).\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

If these multiplets have masses $m_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (note that $m_2 = m_3$ due to the parity symmetry) then the 1-loop radiative contribution to the photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing is[15, 16]

$$\delta = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \log \frac{m_1 m_4}{m_2^2}.\tag{17}$$

Notice that this scenario is in conflict with Glashow's bound Eq.5 unless $m_1 m_4 \simeq m_2^2$. Thus it seems unlikely that particles such as χ which couple to both ordinary and mirror particles could exist (this was essentially the conclusion of Ref.[16, 17]). Nevertheless, we suggest that such particles cannot be definitely excluded. One possibility is that χ_i are approximately degenerate at tree level (to within 10^{-4}) which occurs for a range of parameters in the most general Higgs potential. For example this will happen if the χ couples weakly to the other scalar particles. If the χ_i are degenerate at tree level then the one - loop contribution to δ vanishes and the leading contribution to δ is naively expected to arise at 2-loops in perturbation theory. The only radiative corrections which do not depend on some arbitrary Yukawa couplings are the radiative corrections involving virtual $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ gauge bosons. Observe that the leading non-zero contribution to δ involving virtual $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ gauge bosons must contain both ordinary and mirror virtual gauge bosons. To see this, observe that if say mirror gauge bosons are neglected and the χ_i are degenerate at the tree-level then the interaction Hamiltonian describing the interactions of the χ with the ordinary gauge bosons is invariant under mirror $SU(5)'$ symmetry. This symmetry will forbid the photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing. Thus the leading gauge contribution to δ *must* involve virtual $SU(5)$ gauge bosons *and* virtual $SU(5)'$ gauge bosons. It follows that the

leading gauge contribution to δ must be of order $(\alpha/\pi)^3$ or higher⁷. Thus the leading contribution to δ is

$$\delta \lesssim \mathcal{O}[(\alpha/\pi)^3] \sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-8}. \quad (18)$$

Thus, the quite stringent bound on the photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing does not exclude the possible existence of $\chi \sim (\bar{5}, 5)$ scalars provided that they are degenerate at tree level (which will be a good approximation for a range of parameters). Furthermore it seems likely that the kinetic mixing, if it arises at three-loops could be close to the experimental limit (i.e. within an order of magnitude or two) and thus should be testable in future experiments.

We conclude by summarising the ideology of this paper. The success of the exact parity symmetry in explaining the observed neutrino physics anomalies together with the rather stringent bound on the photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing motivates consideration of grand unified mirror symmetric GUT models with neutrino masses. We have considered the parity symmetric $SU(5) \otimes SU(5)'$ model for definiteness. Two distinct ways to incorporate neutrino masses in this model are envisaged. One way involves adding a gauge singlet fermion to each generation. In this case the usual see-saw neutrino masses results and the model reduces to the exact parity symmetric model (with small neutrino masses) at low energies (with zero kinetic mixing due to the underlying GUT symmetry of the Lagrangian). The other way of incorporating neutrino masses is to extend the scalar sector. This possibility suggests that the photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing is non-zero since it is generated radiatively. We have argued that the kinetic mixing in such models may well be close to the experimental limit.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Ray Volkas for discussions. R.F. is an Australian Research Fellow.

References

- [1] T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 104, 254 (1956).

⁷ This result holds quite generally. For example imagine that there exists heavy exotic fermions of the form: $F_L \sim (5, 5)$, $F_R \sim (5, 5)$. Then the mass term $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = M\bar{F}_L F_R + H.c.$ would give all the components of F degenerate masses at tree-level. Such fermions could only contribute to the photon - mirror photon kinetic mixing at the three loop level (or higher). Similar results would also occur in other GUT models, such as $SO(10) \otimes SO(10)$.

- [2] R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Lett.B272, 67 (1991).
- [3] R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas, Mod. Phys. Lett.A7, 2567 (1992); R. Foot, Mod. Phys. Lett.A9, 169 (1994); R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D52, 6595 (1995) and references there-in. R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, University of Melbourne Preprint, hep-ph/9510312 (Oct 1995).
- [4] S. M. Barr, D. Chang and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett.67,2765 (1991); R. Foot and H. Lew, hep-ph/9411390 (July, 1994); Z. Berezhiani and R. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D52, 6607 (1995).
- [5] CHOOZ collaboration, M. Apollonio et al., hep-ex/9711002 (Nov 1997).
- [6] E. Kearns, Talk at *News about SNUS*, ITP Workshop, Santa Barbara, Dec. 1997, (<http://www.itp.ucsb.edu/online/snu/kearns/oh/all.html>).
- [7] R. Foot, R. R. Volkas and O. Yasuda, hep-ph/9801431 (Phys. Rev. D58, in press).
- [8] J. Bunn, R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Lett. B413, 109 (1997); R. Foot, R. R. Volkas and O. Yasuda, Phys. Rev. D57, 1345 (1998).
- [9] R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, Astropart. Phys. 7, 283 (1997).
- [10] LSND Collaboration, C. Athanassopoulos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2650 (1995); 77, 3082 (1996).
- [11] T. Kajita, Talk at *Topical Workshop on Neutrino Physics*, Institute for Theoretical Physics, The University of Adelaide, Nov. 1996; F. Vissani and A. Yu. Smirnov, hep-ph/9710565 (Oct 1997).
- [12] Q. Y. Liu and A. Yu. Smirnov, hep-ph/9712493 (Dec 1997); Q. Y. Liu, S. P. Mikheyev and A. Yu Smirnov, hep-ph/9803415 (March 1998); P. Lipari and M. Lusignoli, hep-ph/9803440 (March 1998).
- [13] S. I. Blinnikov and M. Yu. Khlopov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 36, 472 (1982); E. W. Kolb, D. Seckel and M. S. Turner, Nature 514, 415 (1985); M. Hodges, Phys. Rev. D47, 456 (1993).
- [14] H. Lew, unpublished.
- [15] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett.B166, 196 (1985).
- [16] S. L. Glashow, Phys. Lett. B167, 35 (1986).

- [17] E. Carlson and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Lett. B193, 168 (1987).
- [18] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 438 (1974).
- [19] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, in: *Supergravity*, eds. F. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Freedman (Amsterdam, North Holland, 1979); T. Yanagida, in: *Workshop on the Unified Theory and Baryon Number in the Universe*, eds. O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK, Tsukuba) (1979); R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 912 (1980).